



### **COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST**

For projects over \$500,000

Project Name:	
Project Developer:	Phone Number:
Opportunity Statement (See Project Roadmap for instructions):	
Description of scope from originating project:	
Project Extent:	
Project Budget and Funding Source(s) (List modal plans if applicable):	
If grant funded, list timeline:	



#### **Purpose of the Complete Streets Checklist**

Seattle's Complete Streets policy is about creating and maintaining safe streets for everyone. In 2007, the Seattle City Council passed Ordinance 122386, known as the Complete Streets ordinance, which directs Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) to design streets for people who walk, bike, ride transit and people of all ages and abilities while promoting safe operation for all users, including cars and freight. This is the lens through which SDOT views all of our projects.

SDOT uses a rigorous, data-driven process to develop complete streets. Streets Illustrated provides the roadmap of how SDOT administers the Complete Streets program. The Complete Streets checklist is the tool SDOT uses to collect data and information about the status of the street and surroundings, as well as the details of the project during a project's complete streets assessment in the early stages of the 0-30% design phase, with a goal of identifying specific improvements that can be incorporated into the project to balance the needs of all users.

#### **Complete Streets Review Story Map - Getting Started**

Data pertaining to questions in this checklist can be found in the <u>Complete Streets Review Story Map</u>. To use the map you need to know the following:

Using your mouse wheel or the zoom controls at the top left corner of the map, zoom to your project area. As you will notice, zooming in makes new data appear on the map. Alternatively, you can use the search tool (magnifying glass button) to type in an address for the location you are looking for. For the most accurate results, include both the city (Seattle) and the state (WA) after the address.

Once you have reached an acceptable scale, begin by clicking on step #3 (Arterial Classification and Street Type), and click on the following numbered tabs to see just the relevant data for each topic. Each map will preserve the scale of the previous map.

Within each map you can click on any of the features to get whatever information is associated with that layer. Because these maps include data with the same shapes, you may need to zoom in or out to see other available layers.

#### Summary:

• Some data layers will only display at a distinct scale, you will need to zoom in or out on the maps to find and view all the layers you need for each topic.

#### Questions or comments about the checklist template?

Please email Gabriel Seo (gabriel.seo@seattle.gov) for more information.



## 3 Project Coordination

	Project Coordination			
1.	Review <u>DOTMaps</u> , the <u>Complete Streets Review Story</u> <u>Map</u> and associated links. Are there any opportunities to coordinate with relevant City projects/initiatives within the project area?	Yes	No	Describe final decision:
	Discuss coordination opportunities and list contact information:			
2.	Are there any opportunities to coordinate with relevant active private development within the project area?	Yes	No	
	Discuss private development coordination opportunities and con	tact		
	information:			



ROW Width:

Arterial Classification:	Principal	Minor	Collector	
	Non-Arterial	Boulevard	SFD Non-Arterial	
If project area has multiple	arterial classifications, o	describe:		
Street Types:			Other F	acilities:
Alley		Neighborhood	Yield	Trails
Downtown		Parks Boulevar	rd	Unopened Right of Wa
Downtown Neig	ghborhood	Urban Center (	Connector	Non-SDOT Property
Downtown Neiç	ghborhood Access	Urban Village N	Main	
Industrial Acce	SS	Urban Village N	Neighborhood	
Minor Industria	al Access	Urban Village N	Neighborhood Access	
	Corridor			

Describe relevant standards from  $\underline{\mathsf{Streets\ Illustrated}}$  and any  $\underline{\mathsf{deviations}}$  you'll be requesting:



## Safety & Channelization

1.	Posted Speed:					
2.	85th percentile speed (if available):					
	Location, date collected:					
	a. Is the 85th percentile over posted speed?	Yes	No			
	b. Are there high collision locations in the project area?	Yes	No			
	c. Are there Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Analysis priority locations in the project areas?	Yes	No			
	d. Does the frequent Transit Network or RapidRide net	work ope	rate in			
	the project area?	Yes	No			
	If Yes to a, b, or c contact Vision Zero to discuss traffic mendations. If yes to d. contact Transit and Mobility to		recom-			
4.	a. Average Weekday Traffic (AWDT):					
	Location, date collected:					
	c. Average Weekday Traffic (AWDT):					
	Location, date collected:					
	b. Average Weekday Traffic (AWDT):					
	Location, date collected:					
5.	Does the project area have 4 or more lanes?	Yes	No			
6.	through lanes, contact Traffic Operations for review for potential rechannelization. If along RapidRide (existing or future), Priority Bus Network, or Frequent Transit Network include Transit and Mobility in these discussions.					
Sho	ould rechannelization be considered in the project scope	?				

Describe recommendations:

Describe final decision:



6	Pavement Condition			
	Is the Pavement Condition Index 65 or below at any point in the project area?	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates for recommendations:
2.	Describe any visible areas of disrepair in the roadway:			
				Describe final decision:
				Describe ilitat decision:
4.	Describe any areaways in the project area:			
Des	cribe recommendations:			



# Flex Lane / Curbspace

1.	Will project change existing flex lane use(s)?  Yes  No., skip to #7. If known, describe proposed changes:	0   [	Describe recommendations for flex lane:
2.	Describe existing flex zone use(s) (e.g., loading zones) in project area:		
3.	Describe adjacent land use(s) that utilize the flex lane:		
4.	Residential Commercial + Mixed Use Industrial  Describe ROW Allocation Framework prioritized functions for the flex lane for specified land use(s) in your project area:		
			Describe final decisions:
5.	What is the utilization of existing parking (e.g., peak parking occupancy)?		
6.	How can flex lane functions be met nearby or off-street?		
7. 8.	Will any existing accessible parking spaces be Yes No impacted?  How many accessible on-street parking spaces is your project required		
J.	to install? (per Streets Illustrated section 3.13)		



# Signals & Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)

1.	Does the project include or impact traffic signals that are on the left-turn Signal List, the High Priority (new) Signal List, or the Major Maintenance (rebuild) List?	Describe recommendations:
2.	Is a full signal warranted in the project area? Yes No If yes, consult with signal design manager about opportunities to upgrade.	
3.	Does the project area include any signals with a Condition Index read as the worst 10% of all signals?	
<i>/</i> 1	Is the project on the ITS Key Arterial Network? Yes No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
4.	If so, list segments:	Describe final decisions:



## Pedestrian Infrastructure

1.	Is sidewalk repair needed in the project area? If yes, contact the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program (SSRP) Manager.	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
2.	Will sidewalk repair impact trees? If yes, summarize recommendations from Urban Forestry:	Yes	No	
3.	Are there missing sidewalks in the project area? If yes, contact the PMP Implementation Coordinator	Yes	No	
4.	Are there missing curb ramps or tactile pads in the project area? If yes, contact ADA Program Manager	Yes	No	
5.	Are there Accessible Pedestrian Signal requests in the project area? If yes, contact ADA Program Manager	Yes	No	
6.	Is the project within a 20mph school zone or at a school crosswalk? If yes, contact SRTS Program Manager	Yes	No	
7.	Are there tier 1 or tier 2 <b>signalized</b> intersections in the project area? If yes, contact Pedestrian Crossing Lead	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
8.	Are there tier 1 or tier 2 <b>unsignalized</b> intersections in the project area? If yes, contact Pedestrian Crossing Lead	Yes	No	
	Describe tier 1 and tier 2 signalized & unsignalized recommendations:			Describe final decisions:
9.	Describe any adverse impacts to pedestrian travel triggered ect (e.g., removal of a pedestrian buffer):	by your	proj-	



# Bicycle Infrastructure

1.	Does the project area contain locations on the Recommended Bicycle Network?	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
2.	Is there an existing bike facility? If yes, list street segments:	Yes	No	
3.	Do facilities in the project area meet the existing Bike Master Plan (BMP) designation?	Yes	No	
	If existing facilities do not meet BMP designation, review <u>Strator</u> for bicycle design guidance and consult with BMP Coordinate opportunity to upgrade the facilities.		rated_	
4.	Describe any adverse impacts to bicycle travel triggered (e.g., bike lane closure during construction, pavement seetc):			
				Please provide planning level cost estimates:
				Describe final decision:



### Transit Infrastructure

1.	Is there a bus route/bus stop/bus layover within the project area?  If Yes, describe and consult Streets Illustrated for transit design standards. List them here.	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
2.	Is there a RapidRide (existing or future), Priority Bus Network, or Frequent Bus Network route within the project area?  If Yes, describe which bus routes and type of overlap. Consult Transit Master Plan for investment recommendations. List recommendations here and consult with the Transit and Mobility group.	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
3.	Is there overhead catenary wire for trolley buses within the project area?	Yes	No	
	Is a change to channelization proposed with this project?  If Yes to either of the above, describe and consult with the Transit and Mobility group who will connect you with the appropriate Metro contact, if necessary.	Yes	No	Describe final decision:
4.	Are there transit stops in the project area more than 500 ft from a controlled crossing in the project area? Is there an opportunity to consolidate bus stops? List recommendations here and consult with the Transit and Mobility group who will connect you with the appropriate Metro contact, if necessary.	Yes	No	
5.	Describe any adverse impacts to transit operations triggered project (e.g., any anticipated operational impacts to bus transcribed projects and projects are channelization, bus stop impacts etc.)			



# Freight Infrastructure

1.	Is the project on the Recommended Fre	ight Network?	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
	Major Truck Street Minor Truck Street First / Last Mile Connector	Limited Access S Over-Legal Rout Heavy Haul			
2.	Does project area meet <u>curb radius</u> and <u>clearance standards</u> ?	i	Yes	No	
3.	Are there identified freight projects in p (Freight Master Plan (FMP))	roject area?	Yes	No	
4.	Is this project in the downtown traffic co	ontrol zone?	Yes	No	
					Please provide planning level cost estimates:
					Describe final decision:



# 13 Urban Forestry

1.	Describe any existing <u>urban forestry</u> assets within the projected to be protected during construction:	ect limits	s that	Describe recommendations:
2.	Are there Heritage Trees in the project area?	Yes	No	
3.	Does your project propose planting trees or expanding the ground plane landscape?	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
4.	Will there be ground cover that requires maintenance or pruning?	Yes	No	
5.	Will sidewalk infrastructure be impacted (e.g. narrowing of sidewalks, sawcuting etc.)?  If yes, contact the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program (SSRP) Manager and summarize recommendations from SSRP:	Yes	No	Describe final decision:



## Urban Design and Planning

1.	Is there a <u>Street Design Concept Plan</u> for the project area?	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
2.	List any plan(s) that overlap with project area (and relevant plan boundaries):			
3.	Have other urban design or transportation plans been completed, or are draft plans in progress, within project area (including plans from other City departments)?	Yes	No	
4.	Is there an opportunity to add pedestrian lighting in the project area?	Yes	No	
5.	Is your project within the <u>Age-Friendly Prioritization</u> <u>Area</u> ?	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
	If yes, please contact Urban Design Program Coordinator, Policy and Planning to discuss opportunities for incorporating Age-Friendly Street			
	Design elements.			Describe final decision:
	The Age-Friendly Street Design elements may include:			
	<ul> <li>Seating</li> <li>Public Toilets</li> <li>Hill Climb Assists</li> <li>Weather Protection</li> <li>Wayfinding</li> <li>Transit Amenities</li> </ul>			
6.	Is your project likely to include any departure from Streets Illustrated design standards and/or Best Management Practice e.g. two-way PBLs, interim design treatments - flexible delineators and paint striping for bike lanes, curb bulbs, alternative sidewalk designs, etc.?	Yes	No	
	If yes, please contact Urban Design Program Coordinator, Policy and Planning.			



# On-Site Stormwater Management

: 	Does your project create or replace 2,000 SF of hard surface, or disturbing 7,000 SF of land? If yes to either, do an early draft of drainage memo to better understand requirements	Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
If no, skip to item 3.				
	Have the minimum requirements of the 2016 tormwater Code been evaluated?	Yes	No	
i.	Is this project in an area identified as suitable for infiltrating GSI approaches (per SPU GIS data), including permeable pavement options?	Yes	No	
i	<ul> <li>Does project area require infiltration investigation?</li> <li>If investigation has been done, include findings in description of BMPs below</li> </ul>	Yes	No	
ii	i. Are there opportunities in the project limits to accomodate On-Site Stormwater Mangement BMPs?	Yes	No	
iv	v. Is there an opportunity to remove impervious surface as part of this project in accordance with the 2013 Executive Order which urges all City departments to incorporate natural drainage features into capital projects?	Yes	No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
Please describe opportunities:				Describe final decision:
Plea	ase provide rough cost estimates:			
	s this project on a street identified as potentially eligible or SPU partnership opportunities (per SPU GIS data)?	Yes	No	



#### Art

Consult with SDOT Arts & Enhancements Project Manager to complete this section.

Seattle was one of the first cities in the United States to adopt a percentfor-art ordinance in 1973. The program specifies that 1% of eligible city capital improvement project funds be set aside for the commission, purchase and installation of artworks in a variety of settings.

1. Is there an opportunity for a 1% Percent for Art funded public art project(s) in the project area?

Yes Νo

Νo

Yes

2. Consult the SDOT Art Plan. Is there an opportunity to implement SDOT Art Plan toolbox elements (e.g. signal box art, sidewalk inlays, creative street furniture or bollards or planters, creative bicycle racks, etc.) in the project area?

Contact: Kristen Ramirez

Email: kristen.ramirez@seattle.gov

Phone: (206) 615-1095

Prepare the following information:

- 1. Name of Program (official CIP name)
- 2. Approximate project scope & budget
- 3. Timing/schedule
- 4. Whether there is space for art in the project area

Describe Public Art or SDOT Art Plan opportunities:

Describe final decisions:



Based on the initial project information provided, the above noted Complete Streets elements are recommended to be incorporated into the project scope. The program owners and subject matter experts (collectively the Complete Streets Checklist Reviewers), who provided input through the Complete Streets Checklist process, will collectively make final decisions regarding project scope, based on these preliminary Complete Streets recommendations. If at any time, resolution between the team members cannot be reached regarding a scope item or additional department wide policy guidance is needed, the project should present the issue to the Complete Streets Steering Committee (CSSC).

In addition to these broad preliminary scope recommendations, ongoing urban design review is required for 30%, 60%, and 90% design drawings to review consistency with these preliminary recommendations, as well as ongoing design details and urban design opportunities. To the greatest extent possible, all major scope recommendations will be made during the Project Definition phase.

Should any scope changes be proposed post the Project Definition phase, the Project Manager is to inform the Complete Streets Checklist Reviewers (or CSSC if applicable) and obtain consensus for the revised scope. The Complete Streets Checklist and Project Definition Memo will need to be updated accordingly.

Project Developer		
	name (please print)	date
signature		
Project Manager		
	name (please print)	date
signature		